PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:				PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
	*		Date of mailing (day/month/year) s	ae form PCT/ISAZ10 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file see form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 be	
International application PCT/GB2004/00278		International fling date (c	layshanthiyear)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30,06,2003
		28.06.2004		30.08.2003
International Patent Class B60P3/42	sification (IPC) or	both netional classification	and IPC	
Applicant				A
CONSTANT DEVE	LOPMENTS	MITED		
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	
		ons relating to the folk	owing items:	
Box No. I	Basis of the or	pinion		
Box No. II	Priority		44	the state and the description of the state of
Box No. III		,	and to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V	Lack of unity of Reasoned state		.1(s)(i) with recard t	o novetty, inventive step or industrial
_	applicability; c	itations and explanations		
☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum			
_		s in the International app		
☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	vations on the internation	al application	
2. FURTHER ACT	TON			
written opinion o	of the Internation coses an Author reau under Ruic	rat Proliminary Examining	g Authority ("IPEA"). be the IPEA and th	rill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notified the authorial Searching Authority
submit to the IP	EA a written rep e date of mailing	ly together, where appro	priste, with amendo	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to tents, before the expiration of three in of 22 months from the priority date,
For further optic	ons, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.		
3. For further deta	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISAZZO.		•
Name and mailing addr	ra of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	
NL-2280	i Patent Office - P. HV Rijewijk - Pays 70 340 - 2040 Tx:		Nordlund, J	
				70.040.0704

•

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002785

_		·
_	Box N	o. I Bests of the opinion
1.	With re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in inguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		In computer readable form
	c. time	of filling/turnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ware turnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002785

Вс	x No. II	Priority			
1. 🔯	The fol	lowing document I	nas not beel	n furnished	:
	⊠	copy of the earlie	r application	whose pr	iority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bi</i> s.1 and 66.7(a)).
	0	translation of the	earlier appli	cation who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consec neverti	quently it has not be neless been establ	een possibi ished on the	le to consi e assumpt	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. 🏻		oinion has been es	tablished as	if no prior	nity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
	filing da	en round invalid (F ete indicated above	e is conside	red to be	the relevant date.
3. Ad	filing da	en round invalid (Fate indicated above above beervations, if nec	e is conside	red to be t	the relevant date.
3. Ad	filing da	ate indicated above	e is conside	red to be t	he relevant date.
3. Ad	filing da	ate indicated above	e is conside	red to be t	he relevant date.
Bo	filing di	ate indicated above	e is conside	red to be t	the relevant date. Sbls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or me supporting such statement
Bo Inc	filing di	ate indicated above	e is conside	red to be t	the relevant date. (bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
Bo Inc 1. Sta	filing di iditional d ox No. V dustrial s	Reasoned states	e is conside cessary: ement unde tions and e	red to be t	the relevant date. (bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
Bo Inc 1. Sta	filing di iditional d bx No. V dustrial s atement	Reasoned states	e is conside cessary: ement unde tions and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	the relevant date. Shis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ne supporting such statement
Bo Inc 1. Sta	filing di iditional d bx No. V dustrial s atement	Reasoned states	e is conside cessary: ement undi itions and e Yes: No:	er Rule 43 xplanatio	the relevant date. Shis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ne supporting such statement 4,6,12,16
Bo Inc 1. Sta	filing da iditional of Dox No. V dustrial satement oveity (N)	Reasoned states	e is conside cessary: ement und itions and e Yes: No:	er Rule 43 xplanatio Claims Claims	the relevant date. Shis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ne supporting such statement 4,6,12,16 1-3,5,7-11,13-15,17-19
Bo Inc 1. Str No	filing diditional of diditional of diditional of diditional of district the diditional of diditional	Reasoned states	e is conside cessary: ement und tions and e Yes: No: Yes: No:	er Rule 43 xplanatio Claims Claims Claims	the relevant date. Sbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or no supporting such statement 4,6,12,16 1-9,5,7-11,13-15,17-19

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002785

Re Item V.

The following document is referred to in this communication:
D1: US 3 469 355 A (MCGANN JOHN J JR) 30 September 1969 (1969-09-30)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

Seating apparatus for use on a vehicle having a flat carrying area, which seating apparatus comprises a frame (1) giving roll over protection to a person seated on the seating apparatus in the event that the vehicle should roll over, at least one seat (2) mounted in the frame (1), and securing means (column 2, lines 28-35) for realisably securing the seating apparatus on the flat carrying area of the vehicle whereby the seating apparatus is able to be secured on the flat carrying area and removed from the flat carrying area as desired.

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-5, 7-19
Dependent claims 2-5, 7-19 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).